UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (HOUSTON)

IN RE: . Case No. 23-90147

. Chapter 11

MOUNTAIN EXPRESS OIL COMPANY,

et al.,

515 Rusk StreetHouston, TX 77002

Debtors. . Wednesday, March 29, 2023

. 8:30 a.m.

.

TRANSCRIPT OF SHOW CAUSE HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID R. JONES UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP

By: BENJAMIN WALLEN, ESQ.

440 Louisiana Street, Suite 900

Houston, TX 77002 (713) 691-9385

For the United States

Trustee:

Office of the United States Trustee

By: JAYSON RUFF, ESQ.

515 Rusk Street, Suite 3516

Houston, TX 77002 (713) 718-4640

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES CONTINUED.

Audio Operator: Courtroom ECRO Personnel

Transcription Company: Access Transcripts, LLC

10110 Youngwood Lane Fishers, IN 46048 (855) 873-2223

www.accesstranscripts.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES (Continued):

For the Debtor:

Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP

By: JEFFREY POMERANTZ, ESQ. 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard

13th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90067-4003

(310) 277-6910

Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP

By: STEVEN GOLDEN, ESQ. 780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor

New York, NY 10017-2024

(212) 561-7700

For First Horizon

Bank, as

Administrative Agent:

Greenberg Traurig, LLP
By: JOHN D. ELROD, ESQ.

Terminus 200

3333 Piedmont Road Northeast

Suite 2500

Atlanta, GA 30305 (678) 553-2100

For Pepsi-Cola Bottling Co. of McAlester, Inc.:

Crowe & Dunlevy

By: MARK A. CRAIGE, ESQ. 222 N. Detroit, 6th Floor

Tulsa, OK 74120 (918) 592-9878

Crowe & Dunlevy

By: CHRISTOPHER M. STAINE, ESQ. 2525 McKinnon Street, Suite 425

Dallas, TX 75201 (214) 420-2143

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

(Proceedings commence at 8:30 a.m.) THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. This is Judge Jones. The time is 8:30 Central. Today is March the 29th, 2023. This is the docket for Houston, Texas. We have first on the 8:30 docket this morning in the jointly-administered cases under Case Number 23-90147, Mountain Express Oil Company. Folks, please don't forget to record your electronic appearance. I know we've got a couple of folks perhaps the first time appearing. (Court and clerk confer) THE COURT: How about now, folks, can you hear me? All right. Then let me start over. Good morning, everyone. The time is 8:31 Central. Today is March the 29th, 2023. This is the docket for Houston, Texas. On the 8:30 docket, we have several matters in the jointly-administered cases under Case Number 23-90147, Mountain Express Oil Company. Folks, please don't forget to record your electronic appearance. I know we've got a couple folks, may be the first time appearing. If you got to my website, it's a couple of mouse clicks. You see a link, just that is the way we note the official appearance. First time that you speak, if you would please state your name and who you represent, that really does help the court reporters in the event that a transcript request is made.

```
Finally, we are recording this morning using
 1
    CourtSpeak. We'll have an audio of the hearing up on the
 2
    docket shortly after the conclusion of the hearing.
 3
 4
              Let me first deal -- is it Craige, or is there a
    different pronunciation, Mr. Craige?
 5
              MR. CRAIGE: No, it's Craige, Your Honor.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: All right.
              MR. CRAIGE: Mark Craige. The E is just kind of
 8
 9
    superfluous.
10
              THE COURT: Got it. Mr. Craige, I saw your pro hac.
11
    It's been signed. You just haven't seen it yet. But for --
12
    you are certainly admitted to appear today.
13
              I don't think there are any other preliminaries. I
14
    have seen everything that's been filed on the docket.
15
              Mr. Wallen, do you want to tell me where we are --
16
    and let me -- Mr. Alonzo, could you turn on the camera for the
17
    lectern?
18
         (Court and clerk confer)
19
              THE COURT: Folks, again, my apologies. My normal
20
    staff person had his stomach bug this morning and I appreciate
21
    Mr. Alonzo coming in early, but he wasn't prepped for this.
2.2
    Give us just a second. We'll get this rolling.
2.3
         (Court and clerk confer)
24
              THE COURT: Tell you what, let's do this, so folks
25
    don't wait. Mr. Wallen, I will ask, since no one can hear you,
```

1 they can certainly -- I'm sorry. No one can see you. They can certainly hear you. We'll just all try to make sure that we 2 take a deep breath and not speak over the top of everyone. I 3 4 will note for the record, everyone, I also have an attorney for the United States Trustee, who is in the courtroom. 5 Mr. Wallen. 6 7 MR. WALLEN: Good morning, Judge Jones. Ben Wallen, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones, proposed counsel to the debtors. 8 Judge, first off, thank you so much for hearing us 9 10 early this morning on short notice. We know your schedule's 11 busy. But as you observed, there have been a number of 12 developments so --13 THE COURT: All right. Can I ask you to pull just 14 the microphone a little closer? Make sure -- can everybody 15 hear Mr. Wallen? I can hear him fine, but I just want to make 16 sure if people can hear him. Okay, people can -- you're just 17 fine. My apologies. 18 MR. WALLEN: Thank you, Judge. So we thought we'd 19 begin today with a status update. There have been a number of 20 developments. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. WALLEN: So to start at the top, when we were 2.3 last before Your Honor on last Thursday's interim DIP hearing, 24 Mr. Pomerantz appraised [sic] this Court that the debtors had

been aware of reports that certain of its creditors had

1 intended to effectuate self-help against the debtors, notwithstanding the Chapter 11 filing and the automatic stay. 2 Mr. Pomerantz made an oral motion for entry of an order in aid 3 4 of the automatic stay. Judge, you first entered what we've called in our 5 papers the stay-violation order there at Docket 119, which 6 7 among other things, directed any party to return property of the estate within 48 hours of being served with a copy of the 8 order and notifying such person or entity that should the 9 10 debtor proceed against them with respect to their stay 11 violations and violations of that order, they would be required 12 to attend an in-person hearing on that relief. 13 The following day, Judge, you entered the worldwide stay order at Docket 127, essentially restating and setting 14 15 forth the terms of the automatic stay and relevant provisions 16 of the Bankruptcy Code. Last Friday, March 24th, Pepsi Cola 17 Bottling Company of McAlester, Inc., what I'll refer to as 18 McAlester Bottling --19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. WALLEN: -- blatantly disregarded the automatic 21 stay and orders of this Court and exercised self-help remedies 2.2 at nine of the debtors' locations in and around McAlester and 2.3 Eufaula, Oklahoma. The debtors' motion only identifies four of

filing, there were a number of other creditors in the area that

those locations, as you'll recall, because at the time of

24

1 had also exercised self-help relief. And I'll speak more to that in a moment, Judge, if that's okay? 2 What was actually taken? 3 THE COURT: Sure. 4 MR. WALLEN: It was a variety of coolers, refrigerators, point-of-sale type mini fridge with branding on 5 there, as well as soda fountains and related, I think, 6 infrastructure. 7 8 THE COURT: Okay. MR. WALLEN: McAlester Bottling took these actions 9 10 despite, excuse me, being informed multiple times by the 11 debtors of the Chapter 11 filing and the existence of the 12 automatic stay before such self-help actions were taken. 13 Additionally, and more concerning, Judge, is that McAlester 14 Bottling's employees were served with copies of the order at 15 those four locations we identified, and they responded 16 essentially that they didn't care or that the order did not 17 apply to them. 18 The debtor sent a follow-up demand later that day, last Friday, March 24th, demanding the immediate return of the 19 20 equipment no later than 5 p.m. this past Sunday, which was 21 consistent with the 48-hour deadline you set in the stay 2.2 violation order. No response was received the follow --2.3 despite following up with McAlester Bottling the following day. 24 As a result, the debtors put together and filed their motion 25 for contempt and to show cause and filed that on Monday,

March 27th, at Docket 152.

2.1

2.2

2.3

The following day, Judge, we were first contacted by local McAlester, Oklahoma counsel and then later on, bankruptcy counsel who is in attendance today. We heard from bankruptcy counsel around the time that you issued the show cause order at Docket 161 against Charles Rogers, the chief's -- chief executive, rather, of McAlester Bottling, which required him to appear in person at this morning's hearing, but that Mr. Rogers could obtain a continuance by certifying under oath that McAlester Bottling had returned all of the equipment.

When we spoke, McAlester Bottling's bankruptcy counsel informed the debtors that McAlester Bottling had immediately gone into the process of returning the equipment to the affected debtor locations. It took a bit of doing yesterday afternoon, Judge, but the debtors were able to confirm that all of the equipment that was taken --

THE COURT: So somebody's got two broadcasting devices going on. I can figure that out. But if you -- if you've got, like, a set of Air Pods and a speakerphone, or a phone and a speakerphone, you need to mute one of them. That's where that feedback is coming from.

Mr. Wallen, if you'd go ahead, please.

MR. WALLEN: Of course, Judge. As I was saying, there were a number -- it took a bit of doing to confirm that all of the equipment was returned to the debtors. Additional

equipment that had been damaged as a result of McAlester
Bottling's self-help. Mostly the lines related to the soda
fountains at three locations were in the process of being
repaired by McAlester Bottling. Those repairs were stopped
last night around 10 p.m. when the debtors' locations closed,
and I understand that they're set to resume this morning and
will continue until the soda fountains are in full working
order.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.2

2.3

MR. WALLEN: An affidavit was filed by McAlester
Bottling at Docket 166, which I believe you're aware of,
regarding the return of the equipment, and a motion to excuse
Mr. Rogers's attendance solely at this morning's hearing was
filed last night.

Based on these developments, Judge, the debtors wanted to hold this morning's hearing to provide you an update on the McAlester Bottling and others. Despite it, however, the debtors would ask that the Court continue this hearing to a time next week to determine both the amount of damages as a result of McAlester Bottling's actions, as well as to ensure compliance and that the equipment is returned to good working order. The debtors believe that the hearing should be attended in person by Mr. Rogers.

THE COURT: All. Thank you.

Mr. Staine, Mr. Craige, who's going to take the lead

this morning?

2.2

2.3

MR. CRAIGE: Your Honor, I believe I will since the pro hac has been granted. I had Mr. Staine from my Dallas office on just in case that didn't get done.

THE COURT: No, of course.

MR. CRAIGE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. If I may we were contacted yesterday around eleven o'clock about all the conflicts. There were several because of all the debtors. But anyway, as soon as we got cleared, I contacted Mr. Wallen, and we advised our client immediately, as he said, to return everything. And I got an email this morning telling me that they -- my clients showed me pictures of all the soda fountains that have been reconnected and repaired. We -- but we'll confirm that today.

We think we've cured the violation and returned everything as it was. Certainly, that's our intention to do that. And then, we'd like to put this off as Mr. Wallen said. It gives us a chance to negotiate what the monetary issues are, excuse me. And I think we can resolve that.

I believe I have a decent idea what -- fortunately, the monetary damages, I think, are fairly nominal. And it's the loss of the sale of about 18 bottles per store of Coke per day is what the averages are. So it's, fortunately, not a big number.

In any event, our clients are going to be prepared to

pay what needs to be paid to square this up with the Court. 1 THE COURT: So -- and Mr. Craige, number one, any of 2 my comments are not directed toward your firm at all. I 3 4 appreciate -- I very much appreciate your involvement. I looked your firm up just because I didn't know them. From what 5 I can tell, you guys all do exemplary work. You understand the 6 law, and you appreciate the necessity for following rules. 7 I -- number one, I'm very happy that you're here. 8 9 This is one of the most distasteful things that I 10 ever have to do. And I -- unfortunately, I have to do it from 11 time to time just to make sure that people understand how 12 important it is that we follow the law. I, again -- you've 13 done in substance what I asked to be done. And so I -- I'm 14 going to give you the time to work through the issues with the 15 debtor. 16 I want to tell you up front, these guys are 17 expensive. These -- their rates are high, and this is going to 18 have to be a net zero to the estate to keep me from getting 19 involved because, at the end of this, my order that was 20 violated, and I take that very seriously. I don't issue many 21 of those orders, but when I do, I will absolutely follow them 2.2 to the very end. And I -- you don't know me, and this --2.3 again, this is not for you; it's for your client.

I took -- I gave up an oath -- I gave up an awful lot to take the oath and enforce the process. And I do it, and I

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- can be extremely harsh at times if I need to be. I'm going to give you the opportunity because sometimes I think that self-inflicted correction is better and serves a greater purpose than if I do it. And so I'm going to give you that opportunity to do it. If you are able to negotiate a resolution, I'm not going to say that I'm just going to rubber stamp it. MR. CRAIGE: We understand. THE COURT: I'm going to look at it, but I can't imagine that I don't look at skilled counsel on both sides who negotiate a resolution and just say, okay, that makes sense to me because everyone was adequately represented. I do want the U.S. Trustee involved in this. And again, I'm not -- they're certainly not going to negotiate. But I want them to see any order that is drafted. I want them, to the extent that there are issues that they find to be compelling as part of their oversight duty, I certainly want the parties to listen.
 - I -- again, you don't have to agree. Perfectly happy to work through this myself. If you are able to reach an agreement and we're going to come up with a day or we're going to -- for a continued hearing. If there is an agreement that's reached at least 24 hours before the hearing, then I'll excuse Mr. Rogers from having to attend. If the agreement is not reached within 24 hours prior to the hearing, then Mr. Rogers

1 is going to attend even if an agreement is subsequently 2 reached. So, Mr. Craige, I think I've been overly fair in 3 terms of giving your client an opportunity to correct what I'm 4 just going to call an error in judgment, and I genuinely 5 believe that's what it is. I -- you know, am I happy that 6 someone took one of my orders and said that, you know, I don't 7 care what's on that piece of paper? No, I'm not. I am 8 assuming that you have given the appropriate advice and 9 explained to them how the process works. And so I'm just going 10 11 to accept that. 12 Let me ask -- I'm going to guess, and you all tell 13 me. Is next week too soon? I mean, I'm going to take 14 Mr. Craige at his word that either things are fixed and business is back up and running or it will be very promptly, 15 16 and it's just because there's a couple of sort of loose ends to 17 tie up. I -- I'm just going to accept that, absent hearing 18 something further. 19 Mr. Wallen, you tell me. I mean, today is -- today's 20 the 29th. I'll make time when I need to. But I'm thinking 21 that maybe having, you know, maybe a hearing the week of the 2.2 10th, first part of the week, would make more sense and give 2.3 everybody an opportunity to try to work through the issues. 24 But if everybody wants it next week because that 25 really lights the fire under everybody, I'm happy to do that

```
1
    too.
              MR. WALLEN: Judge, I think the fire that will be lit
 2
    for early the following week will be sufficient. What would be
 3
 4
    a convenient date for you, Judge?
              THE COURT: So let's look at this, and Mr. Craige and
 5
    Mr. Staine, if I can ask you to look at your respective
 6
 7
    calendars.
              MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, this is Jeff Pomerantz.
 8
    We already have a hearing scheduled, I believe, for April 12th.
 9
10
    So to avoid multiple appearances, maybe we take that time.
11
              THE COURT: Oh, that said, that may save a few
12
    nickels. All fine by me, as well.
13
              So the hearing, Mr. Craige and Mr. Staine, that we
14
    currently have set is for Wednesday, April the 12th at noon
15
    Central time. I know that that interferes with your lunchtime.
16
    It interferes with mine and, I do like to eat. But that would
17
    just -- it was necessary based on just some other events.
18
    Would noon on the 12th work or do we need something different?
19
              MR. CRAIGE: Your Honor, this is Mark Craige. I
20
    actually have a -- (audio interference) board meeting in Kansas
21
    City at noon on that day, and so that day's pretty much shot
2.2
    for me, unfortunately.
2.3
              THE COURT: I got it so --
24
              MR. CRAIGE: I apologize.
25
              THE COURT: No, no, no. It's just fine.
```

```
Mr. Craige, I will tell you that your headset is -- has got
 1
    some significant issues. I don't know whether -- I can't -- so
 2
    it looks like you're wireless. But it was -- you were having
 3
 4
    significant problems.
 5
              I think what I heard you say was you had a board
    meeting in Kansas City on the 12th at noon. Let me do this
 6
    because I can't imagine -- just given the progress that we've
 7
    already made, it's going to be my guess that you folks are
 8
    going to be able to work through this. But even if we're not,
 9
10
    I would imagine Mr. Wallen, it's something that you could
11
    probably just handle by yourself.
12
              So let's do this. Let's go back to Monday the 10th.
13
    What about eleven o'clock? And that would be Central time.
14
    Would that work?
15
              MR. WALLEN: That works great for me, Judge.
16
              THE COURT: Mr. Craige, Mr. Staine, would that work
17
    for you?
18
              MR. STAINE: That works for me, Judge.
19
              THE COURT: Thank you. And would that work for the
20
    U.S. Trustee?
21
              MR. RUFF: It does, Your Honor.
2.2
              THE COURT: All right. Then we'll continue today's
2.3
    hearing to eleven o'clock on Monday, April the 10th. And given
24
    that it's on a Monday -- so let me back up and deal with the
25
    requirement for Mr. Rogers to attend. If there is a proposed
```

```
1
    agreed order that has been uploaded, signed off by everybody,
    by noon on the 7th, no need to appear. And Mr. Craige and
 2
    Mr. Staine, we won't even have a hearing unless there's
 3
    something that I don't understand about the order.
 4
              If there -- if an agreement hasn't been uploaded by
 5
    noon on the 7th, then I will expect to see Mr. Rogers present.
 6
 7
    I'll still have the video to the extent that, you know,
 8
    Mr. Craige or Mr. Staine -- Mr. Staine, if you want to appear
 9
    and, Mr. Craige, you want to be on video or vice versa, all
10
    fine by me but I'm going to require Mr. Rogers to be here.
11
              I will tell you again, this is -- I'm just trying to
12
    give you lay of the land. If we have one of these hearings, I
13
    would -- you can expect that I will ask some questions to the
14
    extent that -- to the extent that I don't think Mr. Wallen gets
    enough detail. So I'm hoping that we don't have that. But if
15
16
    we do, I want everybody to be prepared for what will occur.
17
    Okay?
18
              MR. CRAIGE: Very well.
19
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. Wallen, anything else
20
    from your perspective that we need today? And I very much
    appreciate the timeline. Very helpful. Hopefully, this just
21
2.2
    turns out to be a single error in judgment and this gets
2.3
    corrected very quickly.
24
              MR. WALLEN: Judge, we do have an update on other
25
    creditors in similar situations.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Understood. I want to -- to the extent
    that Mr. Craige and Mr. Staine need to go to other things, I
 2
    want to make sure that we're done with their client.
 3
              MR. WALLEN: I understand, Judge. Nothing further
 4
    with respect to this motion.
 5
              THE COURT: All right. The U.S. Trustee have any
 6
    issues they need to raise with respect to just this one issue?
 7
 8
              MR. RUFF: I'll come to the podium.
 9
              THE COURT: Please, no, very much. Thank you.
10
              MR. RUFF: No, Your Honor. With everything we've
11
    heard today, I think we're on the right track now, so we'll
12
    stand by, and we'll observe.
13
              THE COURT: Got it. And are you the point person to
14
    the extent that there's an order to review?
15
              MR. RUFF: Yes, Judge.
16
              THE COURT: Okay. Just wanted to make sure. All
17
    right. Thank you.
18
              Any reason Mr. Staine and Mr. Craige cannot be
19
    excused?
              All right, gentlemen, again, thank you for your
20
21
    involvement. And thank you for appearing this morning. You
2.2
    folks are excused if you wish.
2.3
              MR. CRAIGE: Thank you, Your Honor.
24
              MR. STAINE: Thank you for the time, Judge.
25
              THE COURT: Thank you. All right, Mr. Wallen, you've
```

1 got other issues that have occurred. MR. WALLEN: Yes, Judge. The debtors have three 2 other creditors in that same McAlester/Eufaula area in Oklahoma 3 that have likewise exercised self-help. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. MR. WALLEN: Let me start. Two creditors are 6 similarly situated. They're also bottlers in that area. They 7 contacted the debtors yesterday afternoon. They let us know 8 9 that they are in the process of returning equipment immediately. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MR. WALLEN: The debtors, with the influx of 13 equipment, are still confirming that we've received everything. 14 Certainly, Judge, if it turns out that there's equipment still 15 missing and it's not promptly remedied, we will be back in 16 front of you. 17 THE COURT: No, of course. And I assume even if it 18 has been returned, I mean, that doesn't end the issue. So I 19 will be prepared for whatever it is that the debtor chooses to 20 file. The debtor, and therefore the estate and creditors, 2.1 should not bear the burden in any shape, way, or form for 2.2 ignoring the law. I mean, it -- just, folks who do follow the 2.3 law shouldn't have to bear the cost for those who don't. And 24 if the estate spends one nickel dealing with this, it should be 25 reimbursed by the folks who caused the actions to take place.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Again, I don't -- you know, that's just simple equity. Even if it turns out as I think this one was, perhaps someone just exercising bad judgment, you know, with judgment comes a consequence, be it bad or be it good. MR. WALLEN: All right. I hear you loud and clear, Judge. We will take the appropriate actions. THE COURT: Okay. MR. WALLEN: Judge, there is a third creditor that is perhaps in a different situation, another Oklahoma creditor. It's a coffee distributor called Stephenson Wholesale Company, Inc., doing business as Indian Nation Wholesale. Based off our investigations, similar to this, we do not believe that there's a tie to an Indian nation or tribe at this point. THE COURT: Okav. MR. WALLEN: We're still investigating that. this point we don't believe that there's sovereign immunity issues implicated. THE COURT: I don't think they are anyway, but okay. MR. WALLEN: I understand. The debtors have demanded the return of the equipment and set a deadline for compliance later today. Consistent with this Court's stay violation order, we also served a copy of both of the stay orders that you issued, Judge. If that remains unreturned, likewise, we expect to be in front of Your Honor, pretty quickly. THE COURT: Fair enough. Hopefully, you'll get

counsel involved and this is one of those opportunities where 1 you can use CourtSpeak and send a copy of the audio of today's 2 hearing if folks really want to see how this is going to go. 3 4 You know, only because we had two skilled lawyers show up today that understood the need to comply with the law 5 6 did this hearing go as easy as it did. To the extent that there is behavior which contravenes my orders, as well as the 7 laws of the United States of America, that is conduct that must 8 9 be remedied. And sometimes, that remedy can be harsh. And so, 10 I -- again, not something I want to do, but absolutely 11 something I will do. 12 MR. WALLEN: Thank you, Judge. 13 THE COURT: All right. Anything else we need to talk 14 about this morning? 15 MR. WALLEN: Not from the debtors' perspective, I 16 don't. 17 THE COURT: All right. If it turns out that there 18 are other issues, I -- obviously let me know. It won't bother 19 me a bit to find out that there are, you know, that there are 20 unremedied problems. I'm going to guess, just judging by the 21 folks that you got involved, they're going to see the need to 2.2 really take the extra step to make sure everything is made 2.3 whole and that the debtors are back up and running and at least 24 until they decide to file something the proper way, that things

will just get done. But if that turns out to be wrong, I want

```
1
    to know and I want to know quickly.
              MR. WALLEN: Understood, Judge.
 2
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else have
 3
 4
    anything they need to address?
              All right. Mr. Pomerantz, I'm very sorry. I got you
 5
    up at, I quess, what is six o'clock in the morning. My
 6
    apologies, sir.
 7
              MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, I'm headed to the airport
 8
    a little later, so it -- you know, really the problem was
 9
10
    putting on a jacket and tie this early, but there's no problem.
11
              THE COURT: Then I won't ask you to stand up. All
12
    right.
13
              MR. POMERANTZ: Good decision. Good judgment.
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. Elrod, anything from your
14
15
    perspective? I know you were just watching because you have an
16
    interest in how this goes, but anything that -- anything that's
17
    been triggered that I need to be aware of or that you have
18
    concerns about?
19
              MR. ELROD: No, Your Honor, but I appreciate your
20
    checking in with me.
21
              THE COURT: All right. Then with that, everyone,
2.2
    please enjoy the rest of your day and we'll be adjourned until
2.3
    nine o'clock. Thank you all.
24
              MR. WALLEN: Thank you, Judge.
25
              MR. ELROD: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

1	(Proceedings concluded at 8:56 a.m.)
2	* * * *
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	CERTIFICATION
15	
16	I, Alicia Jarrett, court-approved transcriber, hereby
17	certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the
18	official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the
19	above-entitled matter.
20	
21	
22	alicie I. fanett
23	
24	ALICIA JARRETT, AAERT NO. 428 DATE: April 4, 2023
25	ACCESS TRANSCRIPTS, LLC